## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X

SPIROS NTALIANAS and ILIAS ILYRIAN, on behalf of themselves and others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs.

**ADOPTION ORDER** 16-cv-5934 (ADS) (GRB)

-against-

B&A CONTRACTING OF LANDMARK INC., and B&A CONTRACTING OF NY, INC., and KOSTAS GEORGIADES, jointly and severally,

Defendants.

-----X

## **APPEARANCES**:

Pardalis & Nohavicka, LLP

Counsel for the Plaintiffs 3510 Broadway, Suite 201 Astoria, NY 11106

By: Ariadne A. Panagopolou, Esq., Of Counsel

## **NO APPEARANCES:**

*The Defendants* 

## SPATT, District Judge.

On October 25, 2016, the Plaintiffs Spiros Ntalianas and Ilias Ilyrian commenced this putative collective action against their former employers, the Defendants B&A Contracting of Landmark, Inc., B&A Contracting of NY, Inc., and Kostas Georgiades (the "Defendants"), alleging violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act and New York Labor Law. Namely, the Plaintiffs seek to recover allegedly unpaid minimum wages, overtime wages, spread-of-hours pay, and damages arising from the Defendants' alleged failure to furnish wage statements and other required documentation. There is also a New York State cause of action based on breach of contract arising from their employment.

On February 7, 2017, after the Defendants failed to file an answer to the complaint or

otherwise appear in this action, the Clerk of the Court noted their default.

On March 24, 2017, the Plaintiffs filed a motion for a default judgment. To date, the

Defendants have not responded to the motion, or otherwise participated in this action.

On March 25, 2017, the Court referred this matter to United States Magistrate Judge Gary

R. Brown for a recommendation as to whether the motion for a default judgment should be

granted, and if so, what relief should be awarded.

On February 26, 2018, Judge Brown issued a Report & Recommendation ("R&R")

recommending that that the motion for default judgment be denied without prejudice to renewal

after the Plaintiffs file and serve an amended complaint naming the individuals who consented to

join the action.

More than fourteen (14) days have elapsed since service of the R&R on the Defendants,

who have failed to file an objection.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72, this Court has

reviewed the R&R for clear error, and finding none, now concurs in both its reasoning and its

result.

Accordingly, the R&R is adopted in its entirety, and the Plaintiff's motion for a default

judgment is denied without prejudice to renewal.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: Central Islip, New York

March 30, 2018

/s/ Arthur D. Spatt

ARTHUR D. SPATT

United States District Judge

2